

Discrete Choice Models: Problem Set 1

Professor: Mauricio Sarrias

2020

1 THEORY: MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATOR

1. Assume that you have a sample of n independent observations from a Poisson distribution with density function.:

$$f(y; \lambda) = \frac{\exp(-\lambda) \lambda^y}{y!} \quad y = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

where $\mathbb{E}(y) = \text{Var}(y) = \lambda$.

- a) (1 pt) Find the ML estimator λ .
 - b) (1 pt) Show that the expectation of the score is zero.
 - c) (1 pt) Derive the Hessian and the information matrix.
 - d) (2 pt) Does the information equality hold?
 - e) (2 pt) Derive the variance of the ML estimator.
2. Suppose that $y|x$ is Poisson distributed with parameter $\lambda(x)$ in a sample of n independent observations. Furthermore, assume that $\lambda(x)$ is specified as $\lambda(x; \alpha, \beta) = \alpha + \beta x$. (Hint: what is the expected value and variance of the Poisson distribution?)
 - a) (2 pt) Does this specification make sense?
 - b) (2 pt) Derive the score function.
 3. Consider ML estimation of the parameter α of the Pareto distribution with density function:

$$f(y; \alpha) = \alpha/y^{\alpha+1} \quad \alpha > 0, y \geq 0$$

- a) (1 pt) Find the ML estimator of α .
- b) (3 pt) Find the LR, Wald and Score test statistics for $H_0 : \alpha = \alpha_0$ against $H_1 : \alpha \neq \alpha_0$.
4. Consider ML estimation of the parameter θ with density function:

$$f(y; \theta) = \theta y^{\theta-1} \quad \theta > 0, 0 < y < 1$$

- a) (1 pt) Find the ML estimator of θ .
- b) (2 pt) Find the asymptotic distribution of the ML estimator.
5. (Trinity for linear regression model) Consider the linear regression model with normal errors, whose conditional density for observation i is

$$\log f(y_i | \mathbf{x}_i; \boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2) = -\frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \log(\sigma^2) - \frac{(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^\top \boldsymbol{\beta})^2}{2\sigma^2}.$$

Let $(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \hat{\sigma}^2)$ be the unrestricted ML estimate of $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\boldsymbol{\beta}^\top, \sigma^2)^\top$ and let $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = (\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^\top, \tilde{\sigma}^2)^\top$ be the restricted ML estimate subject to the constraint $\mathbf{R}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{c}$, where \mathbf{R} is an $r \times K$ matrix of known constant. Assume that $\boldsymbol{\Theta} = \mathbb{R}^K \times \mathbb{R}_{++}$ and that $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top)$ is nonsingular. Also, let

$$\widehat{\mathbf{H}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0}^\top & \frac{1}{2(\hat{\sigma}^2)^2} \end{pmatrix}; \quad \widetilde{\mathbf{H}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}^2} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0}^\top & \frac{1}{2(\tilde{\sigma}^2)^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

- a) (2 pt) Verify that $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ minimizes the sum of squared residuals. So it is the OLS estimator. Verify that $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ minimizes the sum of squared residuals subject to the constraint $\mathbf{R}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{c}$. So it is the restricted least squares estimators.
- b) (2 pt) Let $Q_n(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log f(y_i | \mathbf{x}_i; \boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2)$. Show that

$$Q_n(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = -\frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{SSR_U}{n} \right),$$

$$Q_n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = -\frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{SSR_R}{n} \right),$$

where $SSR_U \equiv \sum_i (y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^\top \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})^2$ is the unrestricted sum of squared residuals and $SSR_R \equiv \sum_i (y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^\top \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}})^2$ is the restricted sum of squared residuals.

- c) (2 pt) Verify that the $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}$ given here, although not the same as $-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w}_i; \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$, is consistent for $-\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w}_i; \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)]$. Verify that the $\widetilde{\mathbf{H}}$ given here, although not the same as $-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w}_i; \boldsymbol{\theta})$, is consistent for $-\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w}_i; \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)]$.

- d) (6 pt) Show that the Wald, LM, and LR statistics using $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathbf{H}}$ given here can be written as:

$$W = n \cdot \frac{(\mathbf{R}\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \mathbf{c})^\top [\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{R}^\top]^{-1} (\mathbf{R}\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \mathbf{c})}{SSR_U}$$
$$LM = n \cdot \frac{(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}})^\top \mathbf{P} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}})}{SSR_R}$$
$$LR = n \cdot \left[\log \left(\frac{SSR_R}{n} \right) - \log \left(\frac{SSR_U}{n} \right) \right]$$

where $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top$.